

# DELAY TORRENT NETWORKS BASED WI-FI OFFLOADING IN CELLULAR TRAFFIC

**Dr.R.Hemalatha,**

Associate Professor and Head,  
PG & Research Department of Computer Science,  
Tiruppur Kumaran College for Women,  
Tirupur, Tamilnadu, India.

**G.Kalaivani,**

M.Phil., Research Scholar,  
PG & Research Department of Computer Science,  
Tiruppur Kumaran College for Women,  
Tirupur, Tamilnadu, India.

**Abstract:** The present Wi-Fi usage is frequently statically jumped to the position of mobile strategy. While this “on-the-spot” Wi-Fi off-load is tranquil effectual, current study propose that one can further expand the benefit of Wi-Fi way in if we let delay tolerant between the network connections. Current versatile applications (e.g., online video players, podcast applications, and reinforcement applications) are progressively more data transfer capacity hungry. Sadly, because of constrained radio assets and foundation limit, it is vague whether cell ISPs can take care of quickly expanding movement demand. Be that as it may, Wi-Fi can give higher data transfer capacity at a lower cost than cell organizes. D2TP is a vehicle layer convention for versatile applications, giving TCP-like, solid information move in stationary situations. It conceals arrange disturbances and permits postpones when a cell phone is progressing. The key empowering influence for D2TP disturbance resistance is in the partition of an association from its system connection. 3G Cellular systems are directly confronting extreme movement over-burdening issue caused because of inordinate movement requests from versatile clients. The current systems must be giving irregular network to the clients. Non-unimportant deferral may come about by using them for movement offloading. This postponement won't make versatile clients fulfill. Offloading part through Delay Tolerant Networks and Wi-Fi hotspots is a most appropriate arrangement. Keeping versatile clients fulfillment in see, there is have to give a motivating force system to use postpone resistance for cell movement offloading. Proposed Research has distinguished that by limiting the motivator cost, clients with high postpone resilience also, extensive offloading potential ought to be given higher need. In this research, we examined a motivating which works on turn around sell off in which client proactively express their postponement resistance through offers accommodation. We additionally examined how both DTN and WiFi hotspots can predicts the offloading capability of the clients.

**Keywords:** fem to cells, Delay Tolerant Networks, Offloading,

## I.INTRODUCTION

Wireless network refers to any type of computer network that is not connected by cables of any kind. It is a method by which homes, telecommunications networks and enterprise (business) installations avoid the costly process of introducing cables into a building, or as a connection between various equipment locations. Wireless telecommunications networks are generally implemented and administered using a transmission system called radio waves. This implementation takes place at the physical level (layer) of the OSI model network structure.

## II.WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORK

In the course of the most recent couple of years, a noteworthy pattern in portable systems is the exponential increment of intense yet moderate individual cell phones, for example, advanced mobile phones and tablets, with various heterogeneous wire-less interfaces that incorporate 3G/4G/LTE and WiFi. The expansion of such gadgets has brought about a sky rocketing development of versatile traffic, which in 2011 grew 2.3-overlay, dramatically increasing for the fourth year in succession, and is relied upon to grow 18 times from 2011 until 2016. On the inside, regardless of its expansion, the versatile information income significantly falls behind the exponential development of

information traffic. One answer for address the strain from the exponential development of portable information traffic is organized expansion and organization of 4G/LTE innovation. How-ever, such an answer is both expensive and time consuming. A moment arrangement is to develop the mobile access network utilizing fem-to-cells, which abuse the existing backhaul framework (connection, xDSL). Two is-sues with fem-to-cells are the obstruction between fem-to-cells and an administrator's metro cells and the cost of the client premises gadgets. Another option is to move a part of the mo-bile information traffic to Wi-Fi systems, abusing the significantly bring down cost of Wi-Fi innovation and existing backhaul foundation. Wi-Fi offloading can diminish weight on the most exorbitant segment of the versatile system, the RAN (Radio Access Network) which accounts for 70-80% of the aggregate cost for a portable network, with whatever is left of the costs heading off to the portable backhaul/center. The business has as of now verified the significance of Wi-Fi offloading by activities of substantial portable administrators: Since May 2010, AT&T has been conveying Wi-Fi get to focuses in regions with consistently high mobile data traffic. Other real administrators including Verizon and T-Mobile are additionally expanding.

### Delay Tolerant Networks

Association on the Internet depends on bundle exchanging. Packets are bits of a total square of client information (e.g.,

bits of an email message or a website page) that travel freely from source to destination through a system of connections associated by routers. Routers switch the course in which the packets move. The source, destination, and routers are all in all called hubs. Every packet that makes up a message can take an alternate way through the system of packets. On the off chance that one connection is disengaged, switches divert the packets to utilize a substitute connection. Packets contain both application program client information (the payload part) and a header (the control part). The header contains a destination address and other data that decides how the packet is changed starting with one packet then onto the next. The bundles in a given message may land out of request, however the goal's vehicle component reassembles them in rectify arrange.

Information is traveled through the Internet by convention layers, which are sets of capacities performed by; organize hubs on information conveyed between hubs. Hosts (PCs that are the sources or goals of information) normally actualize no less than five convention layers that play out the accompanying capacities:

**Application Protocol:** Generation or utilization of client information.

**Transport Protocol:** Segmentation of client information into pieces at the source, and reassembly of the pieces at the destination, with mistake control and stream control. On the Internet, the Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) does this.

**Network Protocol:** Source-to-destination steering of tended to bits of user information through middle of the road hubs, with discontinuity and reassembly if required. On the Internet, the Internet Protocol (IP) does this.

**Connection Protocol:** Link-to-interface transmission and gathering of tended to pieces of client information, with mistake control.

Normal connection layer conventions incorporate Ethernet for Local-Area Networks (LANs) and Point-to-Point Protocol (PPP) for dial-up modems or fast connections.

**Physical Protocol:** Link-to-Link transmission and gathering of bit streams. Common physical media incorporate class 5 (cat5) links, unshielded wound combine (UTP) phone link, coaxial link, fiber-optic link, and RF.

### III. RELATED WORK

#### **Mobility of mobile and bandwidth prediction:**

Path choice in vehicles is nowadays regular place. The expansion of cell phones furnished with GPS sensors can improve course choice by periodically sending time-stamped geo-area updates to a server (swarm sourcing). Such planned geo-area information can deliver continuous travel data for route portions, which can be utilized for route determination in light of the real travel time that considers street traffic conditions. We have executed and shown such an application, called "Opti-Path", on Android cell phones. With the Opti-Path application, a cell phone records the route that it covers together with ongoing traffic data, for example, speed and term, and occasionally sends this data to a server. The server can utilize this data to appraise the travel time for various backup ways to go between two endpoints. Noticeably, the design of such an application is fundamentally the same as frameworks that build transfer speed or network maps for mobile and Wi-Fi get to.

### IV. BACKGROUND STUDY PROBLEMS IN PRESENT SYSTEM

#### **Exploiting Mobility Prediction and Pre-fetching**

The endeavor mobility forecast and pre-fetching to upgrade information offloading from the versatile system to Wi-Fi hotspots. Portability expectation gives information of what number of Wi-Fi hotspots a hub (vehicle) will experience, when they will be experienced, and for to what extent the hub will be in every hotspot's range. Notwithstanding the previously mentioned mobility data, we expect that there is data on the assessed throughput that is accessible in the Wi-Fi hotspots and the mobile network, at various positions along the vehicle's router; We show strategies for both delay tolerant and delay sensitive traffic. For delay tolerant traffic, the strategies endeavor to limit the versatile throughput keeping in mind the end goal to expand the measure of information offloaded to Wi-Fi, while guaranteeing that the information is exchanged inside a given delay limitation. For delay sensitive traffic the objective is to limit the exchange delay. For perfecting, mobility and throughput information is utilized to gauge the information to be stored in the following Wi-Fi hotspot that will be experienced. Perfecting can be profitable when the throughput of transferring information from a nearby reserve in the Wi-Fi hotspot is higher than the throughput for exchanging information from its unique remote server area. This happens when the backhaul interface associating the hotspot to the Internet has low limit (e.g., for an ADSL connect) or when it is congested; this is probably going to end up plainly more typical as the utilization of the IEEE 802.11n standard increments.

Technology has shown significant potential in developing countries, as appropriate de-signs matched with real world need can effectively bridge information gaps, provide greater transparency, and improve communication efficiency. Unfortunately, many developing regions environments have a lack of affordable network connectivity. Even where there is connectivity, networks are often characterized by frequent, lengthy, and unpredictable link outages, along with limited bandwidth and congested usage. These challenged network conditions, along with the desire to ex-tend the reach of the network, motivate a different approach to developing applications that is more tolerant of intermittent network characteristics.

To address these issues, we have developed an overall system framework aimed at easing the development and deployment of applications in challenged network environments. Our approach is built on a robust implementation of the Delay Tolerant Networking architecture, a generic store-and-forward overlay network that uses medium-term storage within the network to buffer messages during link outages. We present an approach to data routing in these environments that achieves effective results by leveraging the fact that many intermittent network topologies still have an underlying topological stability. We extend the DTN architecture to include a publish/subscribe session layer, providing a more natural fit for many applications and a more robust and efficient framework for communication. Finally, we leverage this framework in Tier Store, a distributed shared storage system that eases the

adaptation of existing storage-oriented applications and the development of new ones.

We present the design rationale for these contributions, describe and evaluate our implementation efforts, and discuss ways in which our system framework can ease the burden of application development and make deployments more robust. Despite the remarkable speed with which access to the Internet and the corresponding growth in online services has spread across the world in the past decade, this spread has been far from uniform. Comparing the prevalence of access to information technology across regions, one finds marked distinctions between generally industrialized (and “wired”) countries and a large number of developing nations that lack connectivity and access to technology. In these projections, the area of various countries is adjusted to be based on to various metrics, including the familiar land area, as well as population, internet usage, access to electricity, personal computer ownership, and cell-phone penetration. The marked distinctions between the population projection and the various technologically related projections represents a clear visual indication of the disparity in access to information technology. In particular, large swaths of Africa, Southeast Asia, and South America show a distinct lack of Internet access, reliable access to electric power, or personal computer use, as compared to more industrialized countries. As a direct consequence of this disparity in access, a significant portion of the world’s population lack the efficiency and knowledge benefits that come from access to information technology. More concretely, news reports, election data, market prices, and weather forecasts are in some cases communicated only via word of mouth or other slow or unreliable means. This inefficiency can cause critical distribution gaps and/or delays in obtaining potentially life saving information. Also, commercial transactions and other record keeping are often done using paper, which can result in transcription and data entry errors, inaccurate recording, and a lack of transparency.

Some transactions such as obtaining government services often must be conducted in person, which in some cases requires a lengthy and potentially arduous journey to an urban center from a rural region. More generally, these and other challenges stem primarily from the limited communication infrastructure and continue to be barriers to development, causing unnecessary burdens and inefficiencies in many people’s daily lives.

### Developing Regions:

A key observation from these more novel approaches to network connectivity is that in some cases, it may be more economical and practical to focus on intermittent connectivity as op-posed to always-on, end-to-end connectivity. Furthermore, many applications that are potentially useful in developing region environments have an inherent tolerance for disconnection, meaning that the basic operation of the application does not require immediate feedback or tight coordination among a group of participants. Essentially, this means that these applications can be made to work while disconnected, and therefore are good potential candidates for intermittent networks. As one example, we have been investigating the development of a

cell phone system that primarily uses voice messages instead of synchronous calls and thereby has the potential to lower the cost of deployment and increase the reach of connectivity into rural regions [17]. The key advantage of such a system is that it exploits people’s inherent tolerance for communication delay to help make the technology more economical to deploy and operate. Yet adapting applications to operate in intermittent network environments is challenging. One significant reason for this is that applications are typically written to rely upon the high quality networks that characterize the wired Internet in the industrialized world. Many applications are unable to gracefully handle conditions where an end-to-end connection cannot be made, instead returning an error to the user and forcing them to retry an operation manually. Even when an end-to-end network connection can be made, long or variable round trip times and/or high packet loss can limit the performance of protocols such as TCP or SCTP and therefore have an adverse effect on the performance of applications that use these protocols. Also, unpredictable outages, such as

We developed a robust and extensible implementation of the Delay Tolerant Networking (DTN) architecture [19, 16]. DTN is a newly proposed network architecture aimed at “challenged network environments”. In this work, we focused on providing both a framework for experimentation as well a stable and robust underlying platform for application deployment in intermittent network environments. We describe the structure and major design characteristics of this implementation, along with a performance analysis to demonstrate its viability as a deployment framework for applications in developing regions.

## V. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

### Proposed System

Although a DTN router also requires reach ability state, a number of other factors come into play. Given the store-and-forward nature of DTN, routers may need to consider its own storage state and perhaps the storage state of a peer node when making forwarding decisions. Un-routable messages are often not dropped immediately, but rather queued in persistent storage until they expire, an appropriate next-hop peer becomes available, or additional storage pressure requires deleting the bundle in Wi-Fi offloading strategies. To further explore the rationale behind this design, it is worthwhile to compare the tasks required of a DTN router as compared to a traditional IP router. For most IP router implementations, routing protocols maintain a routing information base (RIB) that stores information about a set of reachable and next-hop nodes, and often a system-wide forwarding information base (FIB) stores the current best route for each destination. Packet arrivals trigger lookups in the FIB structure, resulting in forwarding or a drop, and the job of the routing algorithm is generally confined to maintaining the RIB/FIB based on the set of reachable networks. This information is generally confined to the set of connected next-hop peers and some static or dynamic state indicating reachable networks via the next-hop peers. Also, once a forwarding decision has been made for a packet, the packet may live in an in-memory queue for some



time, but by then it has been “forgotten” by the routing and forwarding components.

After obtaining the similarity matrix, the wi-fi offloading are clustered by the proposed CO-Smart-CAST. The following CO-SMART-CAST algorithm shows the procedure of CO-Smart-CAST. The input data are an N-by-N similarity matrix S. The output data are the clustering result. CO-Smart-CAST can automatically cluster the data according to the similarity matrix without any user-input parameter. The main ideas of CO-Smart-CAST are as follows: First, the CAST method that takes a parameter named affinity threshold t is used as the basic clustering method.

#### ALGORITHM: CO-SMART-CAST

- 01 Input: An N-by-N similarity matrix S
- 02 Output: The clustering result
- 03 CO-Smart-CAST (S)
- 04 Clustering\_Result  $\square$  PI, TCO\_Best tends to -1, S'  $\square$  S
- 05 R  $\square$  [0,1] / \*Rupper is 0, Rlower is 1 \*/
- 06 Do
- 07 For I  $\square$  0 to 4
- 08 P<sub>i</sub>  $\square$  I \* (Rupper - Rlower) / 4 + Rlower
- 09 CR<sub>i</sub>  $\square$  CAST(Clustering\_Result, S', P<sub>i</sub>)
- 10 Tclu  $\square$  Hubert's\_T\_Statistics(CR<sub>i</sub>, S')
- 11 Tobj  $\square$  Hubert's\_T\_Statistics(CR<sub>i</sub>, S)
- 12 TCO<sub>i</sub>  $\square$  2 \* Tclu \* Tobj / (Tclu + Tobj)
- 13 End For
- 14 b  $\square$  argj  $\square$  {0,1,...,4} max(TCO<sub>j</sub>)
- 15 R  $\square$  [P<sub>b</sub>-1, P<sub>b</sub>+1]
- 16 While Rupper - Rlower  $\leq$   $\square$  / \*  $\square$   $\leq$  10<sup>-5</sup> \*/
- 17 if TCO<sub>b</sub> > TCO\_Best
- 18 Clustering\_Result  $\square$  CR<sub>b</sub> /\* Update the best result \*/
- 19 TCO\_Best  $\square$  TCO<sub>b</sub>
- 20 For I  $\square$  1 to | Clustering\_Result.cluster |
- 21 For J  $\square$  1 to | Clustering\_Result.cluster |
- 22 S'<sub>i,j</sub>  $\square$  Average(S<sub>m,n</sub>),  $\square$  m  $\square$  clustering, V  $\square$  clustering j
- 23 End For
- 24 End For
- 25 Go to Line 5
- 26 End If
- 27 Return Clustering Result

Second, a quality validation method is used, called Hubert's T Statistics, to find the best clustering result. Third, a hierarchical concept is used to reduce the sparse clusters. For a clustering result, Hubert's T Statistics is used to measure its quality by taking the similarity matrix and the clustering result as the input. In each clustering result, it's Tobj and Tclu is calculated which represent the clustering qualities measured by the original object similarity matrix S and the last cluster similarity matrix S', respectively. The initial values of S' and S are the same since we let every object be an independent cluster (line 4). The F1 score is used which is the harmonic mean to combine Tobj and Tclu as TCO.

A higher value of TCO represents the better clustering quality. To determine the most suitable t, the easiest way is

varying t with a fixed increment and iterating the executions of CAST to find the best clustering result with the highest TCO. The main drawback of this way is that many iterations of computation are required. For this reason, the number of computations is reduced by eliminating unnecessary executions, and then, obtain a “near-optimal” clustering result. That is a minimal number of CAST executions is performed.

The main idea is to narrow down the range of t effectively. A testing range R for setting t is from 0 to 1. (line 5). By the points P<sub>0</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>3</sub>, and P<sub>4</sub>, R is equally divided into five points, where P<sub>0</sub> < P<sub>1</sub> < P<sub>2</sub> < P<sub>3</sub> < P<sub>4</sub>. Then, the value of each P<sub>i</sub> (line 8) is sequentially taken as the affinity threshold to perform the CAST algorithm (line 9), and then, obtain the TCO of the clustering result of each P<sub>i</sub> (line 10 to line 12). When a run of executing the clustering is completed (line 7 to line 13), the clustering at point P<sub>b</sub> that produces the highest TCO is considered to be the best clustering (line 14). Then, the testing range R is limited within the new range [P<sub>b</sub> - 1, P<sub>b</sub> + 1] containing the point P<sub>b</sub> (line 15).

The above process is repeated until the testing range R is smaller than the threshold  $\square$  (line 16), where  $\square$  is a very small value, i.e., less than 10<sup>-5</sup>. If the TCO statistic produced by point P<sub>Best</sub> is higher than the best CO statistic (line 17), the best cluster result is recorded (line 18 and line 19) and all of the entities in similarity matrix S' are modified to the average similarities between all pairs of corresponding cluster results (line 20 to line 24). The total process is repeated until no better TCO statistic is generated line 07 to line 26). Finally, the clustering result with the highest quality during the tested process is returned (line 27).

## VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The WI-FI offloading scenario shows the advantage of the store-and-forward approach in DTNs. In this case, the connectivity periods only overlap for half of the total uptime, thus the theoretical best time to transfer in the end-to-end configuration is 1240 seconds, as opposed to 520 in the hop-by-hop configuration. The various store and forward protocols, including both DTN variants and send mail, demonstrate this advantage, as they are able to achieve over double the performance when running in hop-by-hop mode. The simple-ftp case always requires an end-to-end path, so its performance is no better in the hop-by-hop scenario, and is actually slightly worse due to the longer latency imposed by the application-level connection proxies.

The protocol takes longer to complete since the reverse path messages must wait for additional link up/down cycles to be delivered and complete the exchange. In general, these results demonstrate the value of the store-and-forward approach for un-correlated outages. They also demonstrate that the DTN implementation functions robustly and performs well on a variety of network conditions. Thus the data support our goal of creating a platform for deployments of real world applications.

## VII. CONCLUSION

To conclude, the core goals of the DTN implementation espoused above are to provide a framework for research and experimentation, to serve as a reference implementation of

the protocol and architecture for the DTN research community, and to be a platform for real-world deployments. As a result, the implementation strikes a balance between flexibility, clarity, and robustness. Although it is still very much a work in progress, our results have thus far been encouraging, and as we discuss in the following chapters, the implementation serves as the base platform for various additional techniques and approaches that we have developed to support applications in challenged networks. In our proposed research we use CO-SMART for wifi offloading data's in Delay tolerant networks, it enhances the speed of offloading from the base station to current station.

## VIII. REFERENCES

- [1]. A. Adams, J. Nicholas, and W. Siadak. Protocol Independent Multicast - Dense Mode (PIM-DM): Protocol Specification (Revised). RFC 3973, January 2005. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3973.txt>.
- [2]. Z. Albanna, K. Almeroth, D. Meyer, and M. Schipper. IANA Guidelines for IPv4 Multicast Address Assignments. RFC 3171, August 2001. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3171.txt>.
- [3]. Bob Albrightson, JJ Garcia-Luna-Aceves, and Joanne Boyle. EIGRP - A Fast Routing Protocol Based on Distance Vectors. In Proceedings of Network World / Interop, May 1994.
- [4]. Vishwanath Anantraman, Tarjei Mikkelsen, Reshma Khilnani, Vikram S Kumar, Rao Machi-raj, Alex Pentland, and Lucila Ohno-Machado. Handheld computers for rural healthcare, experiences in a large scale implementation. In Proceedings of the 2nd Development by Design Workshop (DYD02), 2002.
- [5]. Aruna Balasubramanian, Brian Levine, and Arun Venkataramani. DTN Routing as a Resource Allocation Problem. In Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Communications Architectures & Protocols (SIGCOMM), August 2007.
- [6]. David M. Beazley. SWIG: An Easy to Use Tool For Integrating Scripting Languages with C and C++. In Proceedings of the Fourth Annual USENIX Tcl/Tk Workshop, 1996.
- [7]. Nalini Belaramani, Mike Dahlin, Lei Gao, Amol Nayate, Arun Venkataramani, Praveen Yalagandula, and Jiandan Zheng. PRACTI replication. In Proceedings of the 3rd ACM/Usenix Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI), San Jose, CA, USA, May 2006.
- [8]. T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, and L. Masinter. Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax. RFC 3986, January 2005. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3986.txt>.
- [9]. D.J. Bernstein. Using maildir format. <http://cr.yp.to/proto/maildir.html>.
- [10]. Bill Stewart et al.. Living Internet, 2000. <http://www.livinginternet.com/>.
- [11]. Brent Welch and Ken Jones and Jeff Hobbs. Practical Programming in Tcl and Tk. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle, NJ, June 2003. Fourth Edition.
- [12]. Eric Brewer, Michael Demmer, Bowei Du, Melissa Ho, Matthew Kam, Sergiu Nedeveschi, Joyojeet Pal, Rabin Patra, Sonesh Surana, and Kevin Fall. The Case for Technology in Developing Regions. IEEE Computer, 38(6):25–38, June 2005.
- [13]. John Burgess, Brian Gallagher, David Jensen, and Brian Levine. MaxProp: Routing for vehicle-based disruption-tolerant networks. In Infocom, 2006.
- [14]. Scott Burleigh, Adrian Hooke, Leigh Torgerson, Kevin Fall, Vint Cerf, Bob Durst, Keith Scott, and Howard Weiss. Delay-tolerant networking: An approach to interplanetary internet. IEEE Communications Magazine, 41(6):128–136, June 2003.
- [15]. John W. Byers, Michael Luby, Michael Mitzenmacher, and Ashutosh Rege. A Digital Fountain Approach to Reliable Distribution of Bulk Data. SIGCOMM Computing Communications Review, 28(4):56–67, 1998.
- [16]. B. Cain, S. Deering, I. Kouvelas, B. Fenner, and A. Thyagarajan. Internet Group Management Protocol, Version 3. RFC 3376, October 2002. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3376.txt>.
- [17]. Cambodia Community Information Centers. <http://www.cambodiacic.info>.
- [18]. CARE USA. <http://www.care.org>.
- [19]. Vint Cerf, Scott Burleigh, Adrian Hooke, Leigh Torgerson, Robert Durst, Keith Scott, Kevin Fall, and Howard Weiss. Delay-Tolerant Networking Architecture. RFC 4838, April 2007. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4838.txt>.
- [20]. David Clark and David Tennenhouse. Architectural Considerations for a New Generation of Protocols. In Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Communications Architectures & Protocols (SIGCOMM), Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1990.